• Good if parse tree is deep

Breadth First parsing

- Try all rules at the same time
- Can be faster
- Order of rules is not important
- Good if tree is flat
- ▶ Definite Clause Grammars (DCG)

A grammar written with logical sentences is called a logical grammar.

DCG rules may be written as PROLOG clauses and the PROLOG interpreter is used to perform top-down, depth-first parsing.

| BNF                       | FOPL   |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| $S \rightarrow NP VP$     | $NP(s1) \land VP(s2) \Rightarrow S(append(s1,s2))$ |  |  |  |
| $NP \rightarrow Noun$     | $Noun(s) \Rightarrow NP(s)$                        |  |  |  |
| Noun $\rightarrow$ stench | $Verb(s) \Rightarrow VP(s)$                        |  |  |  |
| Noun $\rightarrow$ wumpus | $(s = "stench" \lor s = "wumpus") \Rightarrow$     |  |  |  |
| $VP \rightarrow Verb$     | Noun(s)  |  |  |  |
| $Verb \rightarrow smells$ | $(v = "smells" \lor v = "kills") \Rightarrow$      |  |  |  |
| $Verb \rightarrow kills$  | Verb(v)  |  |  |  |
|                           |  |  |  |  |
|                           |  |  |  |  |
|                           |  |  |  |  |
|                           |  |  |  |  |

- Augmenting the DCG
- Nonterminals can be augmented with extra arguments, e.g., to verify grammatical correctness or attach semantics
- Add logical tests in the grammar rule the rule fires only if the tests are true
- > Add one extra argument for the semantics see also semantic analysis further on

| DCG                                    | FOPLINCAVOUR                                  |
|--|---|
| $S(sem) \rightarrow NP(sem1) VP(sem2)$ | $NP(s1, sem1) \land VP(s2, sem2) \Rightarrow$ |
| {compose(sem1, sem2, sem)}             | S(append(s1, s2)),                            |
|  | compose(sem1, sem2, sem)                      |

### **Compositional semantics**

Verify grammatical correct sentences

Problem: the previous grammar will generate sentences that are not grammatically correct.

- NL is not a context free language
- We must deal with
- cases
- agreement between subject and main verb in the sentence (predicate)
- verb subcategorization: the complements that a verb can accept

### CASES

Nominative case (subjective case) + agreement



| Natural Language P | rocessing |
|--------------------|-----------|
|--------------------|-----------|

| a   | ll Language Processing                     |                      |    |                   | (13) |
|---|--|----------------------|----|-------------------|------|
|   | I take the bus                             | Je prends l'autobus  |    |                   |      |
|   | You take the bus                           | Tu prends l'autobus  |    |                   |      |
|   | He takes the bus                           | Il prend l'autobus   |    |                   |      |
|   | Accusative case (objective case)           |                      |    |                   |      |
|   | He gives me the book                       | Il me donne le livre |    |                   |      |
|   | Dative case                                |                      |    |                   |      |
|   | You are talking to me                      | Il parle avec moi    |    |                   |      |
| Solution to cases: new categories, e.g. NPS, NPO - not very efficient, too many rules |  |                      |    |                   |      |
| • Augment the DCG with a new parameter to describe the case                           |  |                      |    |                   |      |
|   | $S \rightarrow NP(Subjective) VP$          |                      |    |                   |      |
|   | $NP(case) \rightarrow Pronoun (case)$      | Noun   Article Noun  | // | Ι                 |      |
|   | $VP \rightarrow VP NP(Objective)$          |                      | // | believe him       |      |
|   | $VP \rightarrow VP PP$                     |                      | // | turn to the right |      |
|   | $VP \rightarrow VP Adjective$              |                      |    |                   |      |
|   | $VP \rightarrow Verb$                      |                      |    |                   |      |
|   | $PP \rightarrow Preposition NP(Objection)$ | ve)                  |    |                   |      |
|   | $Pronoun(Subjective) \rightarrow I \mid y$ | ou   he   she        |    |                   |      |
|   | Pronoun(Objective) $\rightarrow$ me        | you   him   her      |    |                   |      |
|   |  |                      |    |                   |      |

Augment the DCG with a new parameter to describe the verb subcategorization

Verb subcategories - specify which verb can be followed by which other categories each verb has a list of complements

## Augment VP to take a subcategorization argument

 $VP(subcat) \rightarrow \{subcat = np\} VP(np) NP(Objective)$ | {subcat = adj} VP(adj) Adjective | {subcat = pp} VP (pp) PP | Verb

## change S so that it has a VP with subcategories

 $S \rightarrow NP(Subjective) VP(subcat)$ 

Add adjuncts to VP – verb phrases that may follow any verb, regardless of the subcategory

 $VP(subcat) \rightarrow VP(subcat) PP$ / VP(subcat) Adverb I smell the wumpus now

# **Resulting augmented DCG**

 $S \rightarrow NP(Subjective) VP(subcat)$  $NP(case) \rightarrow Pronoun (case) | Noun | Article Noun$ Pronoun(Subjective)  $\rightarrow$  I | you | he | she Pronoun(Objective)  $\rightarrow$  me | you | him | her

